

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/679,678	10/06/2003	Duane M. Horton	83843	5327
7590 06/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Office of Counsel			VASUDEVA, AJAY	
Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Newport			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1176 Howell Street, Bldg 112T			3617	
Newport, RI 02841-1708			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/679,678	HORTON, DUANE M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ajay Vasudeva	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<i>,</i>				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>3-13</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		u III tiiis National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d.			
	or and continue copies not recover				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/6/2003</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/679,678 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Niederer.

Niederer shows a body with a nose and a tail, and having a mast attached to the body. The mast has a rigid lower mast section [22] mechanically attached to the body, and an upper mast section [23] that is extendable from the lower mast section. A motor [58] with controller (see figure 6a) is in mechanical connection with the mast for initiating the extension of the mast from the tow body. (see col. 3, lines 2-5; col. 10, lines 36-44; and col. 11, lines 28-37).

The body is capable of being towed if required, and therefore, is considered as "suitable for towing", as set forth by the applicant in claim 1.

The limitation "an upper mast section being <u>extendable</u> from the lower mast section" has been broadly interpreted as the upper mast section as merely being <u>an extension</u> of the lower mast section, and not necessarily as being <u>movably</u> or <u>retractably **extensible**</u>. (emphasis provided)

Application/Control Number: 10/679,678 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

3. Claims 1, 2 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Helmore.

Helmore shows a body with a nose and a tail, and having a mast [12] attached to the body. The mast has a rigid lower mast section mechanically attached to the body, and an upper mast section that forms an extension of the lower mast section, and is therefore considered extendable from the lower mast section. A motor [26] with controller [30] is in mechanical connection with the mast for initiating the extension of the mast from the tow body. A pressure sensor [8] initializes the extension of the mast.

The body comprises components which can detected by radar.

The body is capable of being towed if required, and therefore, is considered as "suitable for towing", as set forth by the applicant in claim 1.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rebikoff.

Rebikoff shows a body [2] with a nose and a tail, and having a mast [15] attached to the body. The mast has a rigid lower mast section mechanically attached to the body, and an upper mast section that is retractably extendable from the lower mast section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,678

Art Unit: 3617

6. Claims 2 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Niederer.in view of Daggett.

Niederer shows a body with an extensible mast controlled by a motor, as above.

Niederer does not show a controller that initiates the extension of the mast in response

Page 4

to a depth indication by a pressure sensor, but refers to Daggett (US 3106712) for such details.

Daggett shows a controller initiating the extension of the mast in response to a depth

indication by a pressure sensor (col. 2, lines 13-19).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have

configured the controller of Niederer to initiate the extension of the mast in response to a depth

indication by a pressure sensor, as taught by Daggett. Having such an arrangement would have

been advantageous as it would have provided an automated system that would operate without

human intervention, and therefore would have precluded problems resulting from human error.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,678 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

Kruger et al., Ambs, Wallin, Gertler et al., Yoshikawa et al., Kato, Lombardi (047 and

046), Salmon, Boswell et al., Hopkins, Hammond Jr. and DE (051) show devices that

can be used as decoys.

Wynn Jr. shows a submarine towing system.

Examiner's Observations: In claim 1, the applicant recites a limitation "suitable for

towing". Applicant may note that all submarines are considered suitable for towing.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Ajay Vasudeva whose telephone number is (703) 306-5992. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 1:00 pm--5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, S. Joe Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajay Vasudeva Examiner

Art Unit 3617

S. JOSEPH MORANO **SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER** TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600